The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Student Feedback Questionnaire

Instructor - Section Report

SPRING 2012-13 Survey period: 30 April 2013 - 14 May 2013 Prof. KIM, Sung Hun

COMP-3111-L1 Introduction to Software Engineering

23 student(s) have evaluated the course. 60 student(s) have not evaluated the course.

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 27.7% (23/83)

Lecture Courses

Q1. The course has been well designed to help me learn.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	9	39.1%	
В		75.0	7	30.4%	
С		50.0	2	8.7%	
D		25.0	5	21.7%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.7	29.5
Section	71.7	29.5
Course	73.7	29.0
Department (COMP)	74.0	22.7
School (SENG)	72.5	24.2
University	72.6	23.9

Q2. Lectures and course materials have been well prepared and useful in my learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	8	34.8%	
В		75.0	8	34.8%	
С		50.0	5	21.7%	
D		25.0	2	8.7%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	73.9	24.4
Section	73.9	24.4
Course	76.3	23.6
Department (COMP)	75.2	22.6
School (SENG)	72.5	24.8
University	73.1	24.2

Q3. The value of this course was clear to me.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Strongly Agree	100.0	7	30.4%	
В		75.0	9	39.1%	
С		50.0	4	17.4%	
D		25.0	3	13.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.7	25.3
Section	71.7	25.3
Course	75.4	24.8
Department (COMP)	73.8	23.4
School (SENG)	72.6	24.9
University	72.7	24.4

Q4. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject and encouraged me to think.

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	10	43.5%	
В		75.0	8	34.8%	
С		50.0	4	17.4%	
		ĺ			

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	79.3	22.2
Section	79.3	22.2
Course	77.6	23.7

D		25.0	1	4.3%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Department (COMP)	73.6	25.0
School (SENG)	71.4	26.5
University	71.1	26.3

Q5. Tests and assignments have been well designed, fair and relevant to my learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	9	39.1%	
В		75.0	6	26.1%	
С		50.0	4	17.4%	
D		25.0	3	13.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	4.3%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	70.7	30.8
Section	70.7	30.8
Course	73.3	28.4
Department (COMP)	73.1	23.7
School (SENG)	71.3	25.1
University	70.4	24.9

Q6. Feedback on tests and assignments has been helpful and provided in good time.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	8	34.8%	
В		75.0	4	17.4%	
С		50.0	7	30.4%	
D		25.0	2	8.7%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		2	8.7%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.4	26.6
Section	71.4	26.6
Course	69.4	28.2
Department (COMP)	70.1	24.0
School (SENG)	69.5	25.4
University	68.3	25.8

Q7. The instructor has been responsive to students' problems and available to answer questions.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	8	34.8%	
В		75.0	8	34.8%	
С		50.0	4	17.4%	
D		25.0	3	13.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	72.8	26.0
Section	72.8	26.0
Course	74.6	25.0
Department (COMP)	78.0	22.0
School (SENG)	76.2	24.0
University	76.1	23.5

Q8. The instructor created a good atmosphere for learning.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	12	52.2%	
В		75.0	4	17.4%	
С		50.0	6	26.1%	
D		25.0	1	4.3%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	79.3	24.6
Section	79.3	24.6
Course	78.0	25.3
Department (COMP)	75.3	23.9
School (SENG)	72.7	25.9
University	72.6	25.7

Q9. Compared to other courses, this course is academically:

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Very Difficult	100.0	3	13.0%	
В		75.0	9	39.1%	
С		50.0	9	39.1%	
D		25.0	2	8.7%	
Е	Very Easy	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	64.1	21.1
Section	64.1	21.1
Course	62.9	20.0
Department (COMP)	61.3	21.8
School (SENG)	60.3	23.0
University	60.2	22.8

Q10. Compared to other courses, the workload for this course is:

		Weight	Count		Percentage
A	Very Heavy	100.0	8	34.8%	
В		75.0	13	56.5%	
С		50.0	2	8.7%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Very Light	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	81.5	15.5
Section	81.5	15.5
Course	77.6	18.1
Department (COMP)	57.2	21.3
School (SENG)	55.9	22.5
University	54.6	23.4

Q11. Please rate the instructor overall:

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Very Good	100.0	12	52.2%	
В		75.0	8	34.8%	
С		50.0	2	8.7%	
D		25.0	1	4.3%	
Е	Very Bad	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	83.7	20.8
Section	83.7	20.8
Course	82.3	22.0
Department (COMP)	79.2	22.3
School (SENG)	76.2	24.3
University	76.6	23.6

Q12. Please rate the course overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Very Good	100.0	8	34.8%	
В		75.0	8	34.8%	
С		50.0	3	13.0%	
D		25.0	4	17.4%	
Е	Very Bad	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		0	0.0%	
	Total		23	100.0%	

Statistics	Mean	SD
Survey	71.7	27.5
Section	71.7	27.5
Course	74.1	24.8
Department (COMP)	72.9	22.4
School (SENG)	72.0	24.0
University	71.2	24.1

Q13. What is good about the course?

- 1. Can give us an opportunity to work in a team
- 2. Effectively designed for students to understand the materials better. Encourage everyone to do well in general.
- 3. Excellent in teaching, well prepared teaching material(slides), good implementation and presentation of subject material and concepts. Interactive teaching and enjoyable.
- 4. Kind Professor, Love!Sung!!!!!
- 5. Lots of useful information is taught that is helpful (debugging and programming techniques) and is not found in other courses.
- 6. None
- 7. Prof. Kim is a very enthusiastic and experienced professor. I can see he put a lot of efforts in the lectures and it made the lectures really interesting and inspiring. Overall I enjoyed the course very much and I believe this course has improved a lot compare to the previous year (mostly taught by Prof. Lochovsky). Prof Kim is also very caring that he always care for the need of every individual students. I learnt a lot from the course.
- 8. Professor always encourage students and kind.
- 9. Writing a new application instead of learning twitter would be more interesting.

Q14. What could be done to improve the course?

- 1. Good enough already!
- 2. Please teach the students, not just release the homework and let them deal with it
- 3. The quality of the TA are rather inconsistent. The main TA Ning is a very good indeed. However pretty much all the other TAs are quite meh. Some of them have pretty bad English and they made the lab and tutorial session rather boring. The course would be much better if more experienced TA are chosen.
- 4. Workload too high
- 5. more guideline can be given for the project.

Note: Please note that the aggregate statistics for department, school and university will be subjected to an updating and finalized on 4 July 2013

Report prepared by CELT 28 May 2013